

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,992	07/22/2003	Hideto Yamazaki	501152.20018	8164	
26418	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER		
REED SMI	TH, LLP ENT RECORDS DEPAR	TMENT	FAISON, VE	FAISON, VERONICA F	
599 LEXINO	TON AVENUE, 29TH I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	L, NY 10022-7650		1755		

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,992	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Veronica F. Faison	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 repriced will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the condition in accordance with the condition in accordan	∑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. correction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in Appli e priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nary (PTO-413) hil Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Palent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040531

Application/Control Number: 10/624,992

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant uses the word "type" appended to an otherwise definite phrase (i.e. self-dispersing type). It is the Examiner's opinion that the word "type" does not further definite the term. The Examiner suggests deleting the word "type".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gundlach et al (US Patent 6,264,731).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,992

Art Unit: 1755

Gundlach et al teach an ink composition comprising water, a colorant, and 1,3,5 or tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (abstract and col. 13 lines 60+). The liquid vehicle of the ink composition may comprise water or a mixture of water and a water-soluble or water miscible organic component, such as 2-pyrrolidinone (col. 14 lines 32). The reference further teaches that the combination of 1,3,5-trioxane and tripropylene glycol monomethyl ether enable rapid penetration of the ink composition into recording substrates. The tripropylene glycol monomethyl ether is present in the amount of 2 to 25 percent by weight (col. 14 lines 51-65). The colorant may be a dye, pigment or mixture thereof (col. 15 lines 13-14). The reference discloses that pigment colorant may be either a self-dispersing pigment or pigment particles and a dispersant (col. 28 lines 37-42). The ink composition may be used in any suitable process, wherein the process incorporating the ink composition into an ink jet printing apparatus, which inherently has an ink cartridge, and causing droplets of the ink to be ejected in an imagewise pattern onto a recording sheet (col. 29 line 57-col. 30 line 8). The reference remains silent to the solubility of the glycol ether (i.e. tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether), however it is the position of the Examiner that because the glycol ether is soluble in water that the solubility in water would be greater than 1 absence evidence to the contrary. Gundlach et al fail to specifically exemplify the use of self-dispersing pigment as claimed by applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a self-dispersing pigment as claimed by applicant as Gundlach et al also discloses the use of self-dispersing pigment but shows no example incorporating them.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,992

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashiyama et al (US Patent 5,938,829) in view of Gundlach et al (6,264,731).

Higashiyama et al teach an ink composition comprising a water-soluble dye or pigment as a coloring agent, 5 to 15 percent by weight of polyvalent alcohol monoalkyl ether selected from the group consisting of tetraethylene glycol monoalkyl ethers and pentraethylene glycol monoalkyl ethers, 5 to 50 percent by weight polyvalent alcohol and pure water (abstract and col. 2 lines 18-52), wherein the ink composition is contained in an ink cartridge. The dye and/or pigment may be present in the amount of 1 to 20 percent by weight (col. 4 lines 37-38). The reference discloses that a wide variety of inorganic and organic pigments including pigments dispersed with a dispersing agent, pigments surface treated and graft carbon may be used in the ink composition (col. 3 lines 32-63). The tetraethylene glycol monoalkyl ether present in the ink composition may include tetraethylene glycol monobutyl ether, which is used for accelerating the penetrating speed of the ink (col. 4 line 62-col. 5 line 38). The reference remains silent to the solubility of the glycol ether (i.e. tetraethylene glycol monobutyl ether), however Applicant discloses on page 13 of the specification that tetraethylene glycol monobutyl ether has a solubility in water not less than 1. The reference fails to teach the use of a self-dispersing pigment.

Gundlach et al teach an ink composition comprising water, colorant, and 1,3,5-trioxane or tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether, wherein the reference discloses that pigment colorant may be either a self-dispersing pigment or pigment particles and a dispersant (abstract and col. 28 lines 37-42).

Art Unit: 1755

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced a pigment and dispersing agent with self-dispersing pigment because the substitution of art recognized equivalents as shown by Gundlach et al would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 892 have been reviewed by the Examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

eronica F. Falson